

## Estándares para la elaboración y evaluación de artículos científicos

### Padrões para a elaboração e avaliação de artigos científicos

### Standards for the preparation and evaluation of scientific papers

Tipo de estudio	Estándar	Referencia	Documento
<b>General standards</b>			
<b>Normas Vancouver</b>	Normas NLM - Vancouver	Patrias K, author; Wendling D, editor. Citing Medicine: The NLM Style Guide for Authors, Editors, and Publishers. 2nd edition. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US); 2007-.	<b>Available from:</b> <a href="http://www.nlm.nih.gov/citingmedicine">http://www.nlm.nih.gov/citingmedicine</a>
<b>Resumen estructurado</b>  <b>Resumo estruturado</b>  <b>Structured summary</b>	National Library of Medicine. Structured Abstracts. What are structured abstracts?	National Library of Medicine. Structured Abstracts. What are structured abstracts? [Internet]. 2018 [cited 2022 Dec 2].	<b>Available from:</b> <a href="https://www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/policy/structured_abstracts.html">https://www.nlm.nih.gov/bsd/policy/structured_abstracts.html</a>
<b>Requerimientos generales</b>  <b>Requisitos gerais</b>  <b>General requirements</b>	Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals	ICMJE (2026). Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals	<b>Available from:</b> <a href="https://icmje.org/about-icmje/faqs/icmje-recommendations/">https://icmje.org/about-icmje/faqs/icmje-recommendations/</a>
<b>Carta al editor</b>  <b>Carta ao editor</b>  <b>Letter to the editor</b>	Recomendaciones	Castro-Rodríguez Y. La carta al editor en la publicación científica. Consideraciones para su elaboración. Odontostomatología. 2021 May 17;23(37).  Süer E, Yaman Ö. How to write an editorial letter? Turk Urol Derg. 2013;39(SUPPL. 1):41–3.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.22592/ode2021n37a5">https://doi.org/10.22592/ode2021n37a5</a>  <b>Available from:</b> <a href="https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26328135/">https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26328135/</a>
<b>Reportes de caso / Case report / Relato de caso</b>			
<b>Reporte de caso</b>  <b>Relato de caso</b>  <b>Case report</b>	CARE (CAsE REport) Statement and Checklist	Gagnier, J. J., Kienle, G., Altman, D. G., Moher, D., Sox, H., Riley, D., Allaire, A., Aronson, J., Carpenter, J., Gagnier, J., Hanaway, P., Hayes, C., Jones, D., Kaszkin-Bettag, M., Kidd, M., Kiene, H., Kienle, G., Kligler, B., Knutson, L., ... Tugwell, P. (2013). The CARE guidelines: Consensus-based clinical case reporting guideline development. BMJ Case Reports, 1–4.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2013-201554">https://doi.org/10.1136/bcr-2013-201554</a>

	CARE Check list		Available from: <a href="https://www.care-statement.org/checklist">https://www.care-statement.org/checklist</a> <a href="https://doi.org/10.1097/JS9.0000000000000373">https://doi.org/10.1097/JS9.0000000000000373</a>
<b>Reporte de serie de casos en cirugía</b>  <b>Report of a series of cases in surgery</b>  <b>Relatório de série de casos em cirurgia</b>	SCARE 2023 (Surgical Case REport)	Sohrabi C, Mathew G, Maria N, Kerwan A, Franchi T, Agha RA. The SCARE 2023 guideline: updating consensus Surgical CAse REport (SCARE) guidelines. International journal of surgery (London, England) [Internet]. 2023 May 1 [cited 2025 Feb 10];109(5):1136–40.	
<b>Reviews / Revisiones / Revisões</b>			
<b>Revisiones</b>  <b>Reviews</b>	PRISMA		<a href="#">PRISMA Website</a>
<b>Revisiones sistemáticas</b>  <b>Revisões sistemáticas</b>	AMSTAR 2	Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, Thuku M, Hamel C, Moran J, Moher D, Tugwell P, Welch V, Kristjansson E, Henry DA. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ. 2017 Sep 21;358:j4008.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j4008">https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j4008</a>
<b>Systematic reviews</b>	PRISMA 2009	Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gøtzsche PC, Ioannidis JPA, et al. The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. BMJ. 2009;339  Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement David Moher and colleagues introduce PRISMA, an update of the QUOROM guidelines for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses [Internet].	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2700">https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2700</a>  <a href="https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2535">https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2535</a>
	PRISMA 2020	Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, Shamseer L, Tetzlaff JM, Akl EA, Brennan SE, Chou R, Glanville J, Grimshaw JM, Hróbjartsson A, Lalu MM, Li T, Loder EW, Mayo-Wilson E, McDonald S, McGuinness LA, Stewart LA, Thomas J, Tricco AC, Welch VA, Whiting P, Moher D. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71">https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71</a>

		guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ. 2021 Mar 29;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71. PMID: 33782057; PMCID: PMC8005924.	
	QuADS (Quality Assessment with Diverse Studies)	Harrison R, Jones B, Gardner P, Lawton R. Quality assessment with diverse studies (QuADS): an appraisal tool for methodological and reporting quality in systematic reviews of mixed- or multi-method studies. BMC Health Serv Res. 2021 Feb 15;21(1):144. doi: 10.1186/s12913-021-06122-y. Erratum in: BMC Health Serv Res. 2021 Mar 16;21(1):231. PMID: 33588842; PMCID: PMC7885606.  Whiting PF, Rutjes AWS, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Reitsma JB, et al. QUADAS-2: A Revised Tool for the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. Ann Intern Med. 2011 Oct 18;155(8):529. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00009	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06122-y">https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06122-y</a>  <a href="https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00009">https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00009</a>
	QATSDD (Quality Assessment Tool for Studies with Diverse Designs)	Sirriyeh R, Lawton R, Gardner P, Armitage G. Reviewing studies with diverse designs: the development and evaluation of a new tool. J Eval Clin Pract. 2012 Aug;18(4):746-52.. Epub 2011 Mar 16. PMID: 21410846.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2011.01662.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2011.01662.x</a>
	PROSPERO	International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2011.01662.x">PROSPERO (york.ac.uk)</a>
Scoping reviews	Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews	Peters MDJ, Marnie C, Tricco AC, Pollock D, Munn Z, Alexander L, McInerney P, Godfrey CM, Khalil H. Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. JBI Evid Implement. 2021 Mar;19(1):3-10.. PMID: 33570328.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1097/XEB.0000000000000277">https://doi.org/10.1097/XEB.0000000000000277</a>
Revisões exploratórias			
Scoping reviews	PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Scoping REviews)	Tricco AC, Lillie E, Zarin W, O'Brien KK, Colquhoun H, Levac D, et al. PRISMA extension for scoping reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and explanation. Ann Intern Med. 2018;169(7):467-73.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850">https://doi.org/10.7326/M18-0850</a>
Revisión narrativa	SANRA-a (Scale for the Assessment of Narrative Review Articles)	Baethge C, Goldbeck-Wood S, Mertens S. SANRA-a scale for the quality assessment of narrative review articles. Res Integr Peer Rev. 2019 Mar 26;4:5. PMID: 30962953; PMCID: PMC6434870.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s41073-019-0064-8">https://doi.org/10.1186/s41073-019-0064-8</a>
Revisão narrativa			
Narrative review			

<p><b>Revisión rápida</b></p> <p><b>Revisão rápida</b></p> <p><b>Quick review</b></p>	<p>PRISMA RR (Rapid Review)</p>	<p>Veroniki AA, Hutton B, Stevens A, McKenzie JE, Page MJ, Moher D, et al. Update to the PRISMA guidelines for network meta-analyses and scoping reviews and development of guidelines for rapid reviews: a scoping review protocol. JBI evidence synthesis. 2025 Mar 1;23(3):517–26.</p>	<p><a href="https://doi.org/10.11124/JBIES-24-00308">https://doi.org/10.11124/JBIES-24-00308</a></p>
<p><b>Revisión integrativa</b></p> <p><b>Integrative review</b></p> <p><b>Revisão integrativa</b></p>	<p>Integra estudios teóricos y empíricos, cuantitativos y cualitativos.</p> <p>Integra estudos teóricos e empíricos, quantitativos e qualitativos.</p> <p>It integrates theoretical and empirical, quantitative and qualitative studies.</p>	<p>Whittemore R, Knafk K. The integrative review: updated methodology. Journal of <b>advanced nursing</b>. 2005;52(5):546–53.</p> <p>Hopia H, Latvala E, Liimatainen L. Reviewing the methodology of an integrative review. Scandinavian journal of caring sciences [Internet]. 2016 Dec 1 [cited 2025 Oct 13];30(4):662–9.</p>	<p><a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2005.03621.x">https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2005.03621.x</a></p> <p><a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/scs.12327">https://doi.org/10.1111/scs.12327</a></p>
<p><b>Síntesis cualitativa</b></p>	<p>Integra hallazgos de estudios cualitativos para interpretar fenómenos.</p> <p>El estándar PRISMA QES se encuentra en desarrollo</p>	<p>Tong A, Flemming K, McInnes E, Oliver S, Craig J. Enhancing transparency in reporting the synthesis of qualitative research: ENTREQ. BMC medical research methodology. 2012;12.</p>	<p><a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-12-181">https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-12-181</a></p>
<p><b>Meta-síntesis / meta etnografía</b></p>	<p>Síntesis interpretativa de estudios cualitativos para</p>	<p>France EF, Cunningham M, Ring N, Uny I, Duncan EAS, Jepson RG, et al. Improving reporting of meta-ethnography: The eMERGe reporting guidance. BMC Medical Research Methodology [Internet]. 2019 Jan 31 [cited 2025 Oct 13];19(1):1–13.</p>	<p><a href="https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3147">https://doi.org/10.1002/rev3.3147</a></p> <p><a href="#">CASP Checklist- For Qualitative Research .pdf</a></p>

	<p>generar teorías o modelos conceptuales.</p> <p>Interpretive synthesis of qualitative studies to generate theories or conceptual models.</p> <p>Síntese interpretativa de estudos qualitativos para gerar teorias ou modelos conceptuais.</p>	<p>Wong G, Greenhalgh T, Westhorp G, Buckingham J, Pawson R. RAMESES publication standards: Meta-narrative reviews. BMC Med. 2013 Jan 29;11(1). doi:10.1186/1741-7015-11-20 PubMed PMID: 23360661.</p>	<p><a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-11-20">https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7015-11-20</a></p>
<p><b>Revisión sistemática, revisiones narrativas, metaanálisis y metasíntesis</b></p> <p>Systematic review, narrative reviews, meta-analyses, and meta-syntheses</p> <p>Revisão sistemática, revisões narrativas,</p>		<p>Siddaway AP, Wood AM, Hedges L v. How to Do a Systematic Review: A Best Practice Guide for Conducting and Reporting Narrative Reviews, Meta-Analyses, and Meta-Syntheses. Annu Rev Psychol. 2025;70:747–70.</p>	<p><a href="https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-102803">https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010418-102803</a></p>

meta-análises e meta-sínteses			
Revisiones mixtas Revisões mistas Mixed reviews	<p>Integran evidencia cuantitativa y cualitativa, con análisis correlacionados.</p> <p>They integrate quantitative and qualitative evidence, with correlated analyses.</p> <p>Integram evidências quantitativas e qualitativas, com análises correlacionadas.</p>	MMAT (Mixed Methods Appraisal Tool), PRISMA adaptado	
Metaanálisis de estudios observacionales en epidemiología	Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE)	Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis Of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA. 2000;283(15):2008–12.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.283.15.2008">https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.283.15.2008</a>
Guías de práctica clínica Diretrizes de prática clínica	Guidance for Developers of Health Research Reporting Guidelines	Moher D, Schulz KF, Simera I, Altman DG. Guidance for developers of health research reporting guidelines. PLoS Med. 2010;7(2).	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000217">https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000217</a>
Clinical practice guidelines	Reporting Tool for Practice Guidelines in Health Care	Chen Y, Yang K, Marušić A, Qaseem A, Meerpohl JJ, Flottorp S, et al. A Reporting Tool for Practice Guidelines in Health Care: The RIGHT Statement. Ann Intern Med. 2017 Jan 17;166(2):128–32. Available from: <a href="https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27893062/">https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27893062/</a>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.7326/M16-1565">https://doi.org/10.7326/M16-1565</a>
	AGREE II. Advancing	Brouwers, M. C., Kho, M. E., Brouman, G. P., Burgers, J. S., Cluzeau, F., Feder, G., Fervers, B., Graham, I. D., Grimshaw, J., Hanna, S. E.,	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1503/CMAJ.090449">https://doi.org/10.1503/CMAJ.090449</a>

	Guideline development, Reporting and Evaluation in Health Care	Littlejohns, P., Makarski, J., & Zitzelsberger, L. (2010). AGREE II: advancing guideline development, reporting and evaluation in health care. CMAJ : Canadian Medical Association Journal = Journal de l'Association Medicale Canadienne, 182(18).	
<b>Umbrella review</b>  <b>Overview</b>  <b>Visão geral</b>	Systematic review of systematic reviews  Revisión sistemática de revisiones sistemáticas  Revisão sistemática de revisões sistemáticas	Pollock M, Fernandes RM, Pieper D, Tricco AC, Gates M, Gates A, et al. Preferred Reporting Items for Overviews of Reviews (PRIOR): A protocol for development of a reporting guideline for overviews of reviews of healthcare interventions. Systematic Reviews. 2019;8(1).  Shea BJ, Reeves BC, Wells G, Thuku1 M, Hamel C, Moran J, et al. AMSTAR 2: a critical appraisal tool for systematic reviews that include randomised or non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions, or both. BMJ. 2017;358:4008.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-019-1252-9">https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-019-1252-9</a>  <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4008http://www.bmj.com/">http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4008http://www.bmj.com/</a>
<b>Ensayo clínico controlado aleatorio / Ensaio clínico controlado e randomizado / Randomised controlled clinical trial</b>			
<b>Ensayo clínico controlado aleatorio</b>	CONSORT (Consolidated Standards Of Reporting Trials)	Schulz KF, Altman DG, Moher D; CONSORT Group. CONSORT 2010 statement: updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. BMJ. 2010 Mar 23;340:c332.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c332">https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c332</a>
<b>Ensaio clínico controlado e randomizado</b>	CONSORT AI Extension	Liu, X., Rivera, S. C., Moher, D., Calvert, M. J., & Denniston, A. K. (2020). Reporting guidelines for clinical trial reports for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the CONSORT-AI Extension. BMJ (Clinical Research Ed.), 370.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1136/BMJ.M3164">https://doi.org/10.1136/BMJ.M3164</a>
<b>Randomised controlled clinical trial</b>	SPIRIT (Standard Protocol Items: Recommendations for Interventional Trials)	Chan A-W, Tetzlaff JM, Altman DG, Laupacis A, Gøtzsche PC, Krle A-Jerčić K, et al. SPIRIT 2013 Statement: defining standard protocol items for clinical trials. Rev Panam Salud Publica. 2015 Dec;38(6):506–14.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-158-3-201302050-00583">https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-158-3-201302050-00583</a>
	SPIRIT-AI and CONSORT-AI Working Group (Consolidated Standards of	Cruz Rivera, S., Liu, X., Chan, A. W., Denniston, A. K., Calvert, M. J., Ashrafian, H., Beam, A. L., Collins, G. S., Darzi, A., Deeks, J. J., ElZarrad, M. K., Espinoza, C., Esteva, A., Faes, L., Ferrante di Ruffano, L., Fletcher, J., Golub, R., Harvey, H., Haug, C., ... Yau, C. (2020). Guidelines for clinical trial protocols for interventions involving artificial intelligence: the SPIRIT-AI extension. The Lancet Digital Health, 2(10), e549–e560.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30219-3">https://doi.org/10.1016/S2589-7500(20)30219-3</a>

	Reporting Trials- AI)		
<b>Observational studies in epidemiology / Estudios observacionales en epidemiología / Estudos observacionais em epidemiologia</b>			
<b>Estudios observacionales en epidemiología</b>	STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology)	von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, Pocock SJ, Gøtzsche PC, Vandenbroucke JP, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. PLoS Med [Internet]. 2007 Oct 16 [cited 2018 Aug 9];4(10):e296.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0040297">https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0040297</a>
<b>Estudos observacionais em epidemiologia</b>	STROBE (Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology)	Vandenbroucke JP, von Elm E, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, Mulrow CD, Pocock SJ, et al. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. Int J Surg [Internet]. 2014 Dec [cited 2018 Aug 9];12(12):1500–24.	<a href="http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2014.07.014">http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2014.07.014</a>
<b>Observational studies in epidemiology</b>	Explanation and elaboration		
<b>Estudios sobre exactitud de métodos diagnósticos</b>	STARD (Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy)	Cohen JF, Korevaar DA, Altman DG, Bruns DE, Gatsonis CA, Hooft L, et al. STARD 2015 guidelines for reporting diagnostic accuracy studies: explanation and elaboration. BMJ Open [Internet]. 2016 Nov 14 [cited 2018 Aug 9];6(11):e012799.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012799">https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-012799</a>
<b>Estudos sobre a precisão dos métodos de diagnóstico</b>	QAREL (Quality Appraisal Tool for Studies of Diagnostic Reliability)	Lucas NP, Macaskill P, Irwig L, Bogduk N. The development of a quality appraisal tool for studies of diagnostic reliability (QAREL). J Clin Epidemiol. 2010 Aug;63(8):854–61.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.10.002">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.10.002</a>
<b>Studies on the accuracy of diagnostic methods</b>	QUADAS-2 (Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies)	Whiting PF, Rutjes AWS, Westwood ME, Mallett S, Deeks JJ, Reitsma JB, et al. QUADAS-2: A Revised Tool for the Quality Assessment of Diagnostic Accuracy Studies. Ann Intern Med. 2011 Oct 18;155(8):529.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00009">https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00009</a>
<b>Estándares consolidados de informes de evaluación económica de la salud</b>	CHEERS statement (Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards)	Husereau D, Drummond M, Petrou S, Carswell C, Moher D, Greenberg D, Augustovski F, Briggs AH, Mauskopf J, Loder E; ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines-CHEERS Good Reporting Practices Task Force. Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS)--explanation and elaboration: a report of the ISPOR Health Economic Evaluation Publication Guidelines Good	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2013.02.002">https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2013.02.002</a>

<p><b>Padrões consolidados de relatórios de avaliação econômica da saúde</b></p> <p>Consolidated health economic evaluation reporting standards</p>		Reporting Practices Task Force. Value Health. 2013 Mar-Apr;16(2):231-50.	
<p><b>Estudios cualitativos</b></p> <p>Estudos qualitativos</p> <p>Qualitative studies</p>	SRQR (Standards for Reporting Qualitative Research)	O'Brien BC, Harris IB, Beckman TJ, Reed DA, Cook DA. Standards for reporting qualitative research: a synthesis of recommendations. Acad Med [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2023 Aug 20];89(9):1245–51.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000388">https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000000388</a>
<b>Ética / Ética / Ethics</b>			
<p><b>Ética</b></p> <p><b>Ética</b></p> <p><b>Ethics</b></p>	Declaración de Helsinki	World Medical Association. World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects. JAMA [Internet]. 2013 Nov 27 [cited 2022 Nov 28];310(20):2191–4.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.281053">https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2013.281053</a>
	COPE Ethical guidelines for peer reviewers	COPE: Committee on Publication Ethics   Promoting integrity in scholarly research and its publication. (n.d.). <a href="https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24318/cope.2019.1.9">https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.24318/cope.2019.1.9</a>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.24318/cope.2019.1.9">https://doi.org/10.24318/cope.2019.1.9</a>
<p><b>Calidad de los estudios sobre educación médica</b></p> <p><b>Qualidade dos estudos de educação médica</b></p>	MERSQI (Medical Education Research Study Quality Instrument)	Reed DA, Cook DA, Beckman TJ, Levine RB, Kern DE, Wright SM. Association between funding and quality of published medical education research. JAMA. 2007 Sep 5;298(9):1002-9.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.298.9.1002">https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.298.9.1002</a>

Quality of medical education studies			
Estudios sobre simulación  Estudos de simulação  Simulation studies	Reporting Guidelines for Health Care Simulation Research: Extensions to the CONSORT and STROBE Statements.	Cheng A, Kessler D, Mackinnon R, Chang TP, Nadkarni VM, Hunt EA, et al. Reporting Guidelines for Health Care Simulation Research: Extensions to the CONSORT and STROBE Statements. Clin Simul Nurs. 2016;12(8):iii–xiii. Available from: <a href="https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1876139916300251">https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1876139916300251</a>	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.000000000000150">https://doi.org/10.1097/SIH.000000000000150</a>
	A critical review of simulation-based mastery learning with translational outcomes	McGaghie WC, Issenberg SB, Barsuk JH, Wayne DB. A critical review of simulation-based mastery learning with translational outcomes. Med Educ. 2014 Apr;48(4):375-85.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12391">https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12391</a>
Publicaciones académicas en revistas médicas  Publicações acadêmicas em revistas médicas  Academic publications in medical journals	International Committee of Medical Journals Editors (ICMJE) Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals	ICMJE. (2023). Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals.	<a href="https://www.icmje.org/icmje-recommendations.pdf">https://www.icmje.org/icmje-recommendations.pdf</a>
Guidance for developers of health research reporting guidelines	<a href="#">EQUATOR network</a>	Moher D, Schulz KF, Simera I, Altman DG. Guidance for developers of health research reporting guidelines. PLoS Med. 2010 Feb 16;7(2):e1000217.. PMID: 20169112; PMCID: PMC221895.	<a href="https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000217">https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000217</a>